

Pharmacological agents for reducing myocardial infarct size in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction

Thomas Bochaton, MD; François Derimay, MD; Michel Ovize, MD, PhD
Louis Pradel Hospital, Cardiovascular Functional Exploration Service,
Clinical Investigation Center & UMR1060 (CarMeN), Claude Bernard University Lyon 1, Lyon, France

Correspondence: Michel Ovize, MD, PhD, Louis Pradel Hospital, Cardiovascular Functional Exploration Service,
59 Bd Pinel, Bron 69394, France
E-mail: michel.ovize@chu-lyon.fr

Abstract

Major progress has been made over the last two decades for the treatment of patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). The major objective of this treatment is to reduce infarct size, which is the major prognostic factor in this population. Most of the efforts have been focused on improving reperfusion therapy in order to open as quickly as possible the culprit coronary artery. Recently, phase 2 trials have demonstrated that reperfusion injury exists, is of significant importance, and might be prevented by protective interventions (eg, ischemic conditioning) applied immediately before reflow. Several recent studies have addressed whether pharmacological agents can mimic ischemic conditioning. Although many past infarct size–reduction studies have not shown a reduction in size, some phase 2 studies have shown that reduction in infarct size is possible in patients with STEMI, provided the pharmacological treatment is administered before reperfusion. In contrast, the recent phase 3 CIRCUS trial (Cyclosporine to ImpRove Clinical oUtcome in ST-elevation myocardial infarction patients) did not demonstrate any benefit of cyclosporine on clinical outcome in patients presenting with anterior infarcts. Additional studies are needed to determine whether pharmacological agents targeting reperfusion injury might improve clinical outcomes in STEMI patients. ■ *Heart Metab.* 2016;70:19-23

Keywords: ischemia-reperfusion; ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; treatment of reperfusion injury

The unmet need

Coronary heart disease remains the leading cause of death worldwide. Despite major progress in primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) made during the past two decades, mortality and morbidity remain unacceptably high in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).

In the recent CIRCUS trial (Cyclosporine to ImpRove Clinical oUtcome in STEMI patients), nearly 20% of patients with anterior infarcts were either dead or rehospitalized for heart failure at 1 year despite more than 90% successful reperfusion and optimal pharmacological treatments.¹ Although there is not much room to further reduce door-to-balloon time in PCI, the two following major goals, if reached, should

Abbreviations

CIRCUS: Cyclosporine to ImpRove Clinical oUtcome in ST-elevation myocardial infarction patients; **CsA:** cyclosporine A; **EMBRACE:** Evaluation of Myocardial effects of Bendavia for Reducing reperfusion injury in patients with Acute Coronary Events; **GLP-1:** glucagon-like peptide-1; **INFUSE-AMI:** Intracoronary Abciximab and Aspiration Thrombectomy in Patients with Large Anterior Myocardial Infarction; **LV:** left ventricular; **METOCARD-CNIC:** METOprolol in CARDioproteCtioN during an acute myocardial InfarCtion; **MITOCARE:** Phase 2, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Study to Assess the Safety and Efficacy of TRO40303 for the Reduction of Reperfusion Injury in Patients Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for Acute Myocardial Infarction; **MOVE ON!:** Impact of pre-reperfusion Metoprolol On clinical eVEnts after myocardial infarctiON; **PCI:** percutaneous coronary intervention; **PTP:** permeability transition pore; **STEMI:** ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction

continue to improve patient prognosis: first, reducing the duration of ischemia via faster access to reperfusion therapy; second, protecting the heart from reperfusion injury.² Both would reduce myocardial infarct size and then prevent adverse left ventricular (LV) remodeling, preserve LV function, prevent the onset of heart failure, and improve survival.

There is strong experimental evidence that reperfusion injury may be attenuated by interventions applied after the onset of ischemia, specifically by ischemic conditioning interventions.³ Phase 2 clinical trials have demonstrated that ischemic postconditioning can reduce infarct size and improve recovery of contractile function in STEMI patients.^{4,5} We will briefly review recent advances in *pharmacological* prevention of reperfusion injury.

Pharmacological prevention of reperfusion injury

Over the past three decades, although numerous experimental studies have reported myocardial protection by different pharmacological agents, the translation into clinical settings has been very challenging.⁶ Several drugs have recently been tested as an adjunct to reperfusion therapy, targeting either key players of signaling pathways or mitochondria, considered to be a final effector of reperfusion-induced cell death.

Cyclosporine A and other agents targeting mitochondria

Apart from its immunosuppressive activity, cyclosporine A (CsA) is known as a potent inhibitor of the opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (PTP). PTP opening is considered a crucial event in cardiomyocyte death after prolonged ischemia-reperfusion. During the past 20 years, CsA has consistently been shown to reduce cell death after an ischemic insult in a variety of experimental preparations, including in vivo models of infarction.⁷⁻⁹ Some, but not all, phase 2 clinical trials have suggested that CsA can protect the heart following a prolonged ischemic insult.¹⁰⁻¹⁵ Despite these encouraging results, in the 970-patient CIRCUS trial, the administration of CsA immediately before PCI failed to improve clinical outcomes (all-cause death, heart failure hospitalization, and adverse LV remodeling) at 1 year in anterior-STEMI patients.¹ The discrepancy between the neutral results of this phase 3, multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trial and previous phase 2 studies is unclear. Different issues may be discussed, including (i) a type I error frequently seen in small-size phase 2 studies, (ii) the nonspecific inhibition of PTP opening by CsA, or (iii) the immunosuppressive effects of CsA that might have modified a putative protective effect of inhibition of PTP opening. Probably more important are factors related to the major difference between experimental and clinical settings and between surrogate end points (eg, infarct size) and clinical outcomes (eg, heart failure or mortality). Unlike animals, patients displayed comorbidities and received treatments (β -blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, antiplatelet agents, statins) that might alter the efficacy of the tested pharmacological agent. Noteworthy, the increased use of the new P2Y₁₂ platelet inhibitors (prasugrel, ticagrelor) may have played a role, because ticagrelor and prasugrel are known to reduce myocardial infarct size per se.^{16,17} Eventually, one may also question whether CsA could actually reach its mitochondrial target under the specific conditions of its use in STEMI patients. Were the dose, route, and timing of administration appropriate for a sufficient amount of this drug to bind cyclophilin D within the just reoxygenated cardiac mitochondria and prevent PTP opening? Overall, although there is little doubt that CsA is not the pharmacological agent

that will improve cardiac protection in STEMI patients, its failure by no means questions the concept of protection against myocardial reperfusion injury.

Other agents targeting mitochondria have been tested recently. MTP-131 is a peptide that targets cardiolipin in the inner mitochondrial membrane, optimizes mitochondrial energetics, and attenuates production of reactive oxygen species. Despite encouraging preclinical data, MTP-131 failed to reduce infarct size in the phase 2 EMBRACE study (Evaluation of Myocardial effects of Bendavia for Reducing reperfusion injury in patients with Acute Coronary Events).¹⁸⁻²⁰ TRO40303, a pharmacological agent that is believed to bind to the translocator protein TSPO in the outer mitochondrial membrane—but not specifically inhibit PTP opening—was able to limit infarct size in rodents, but not in the pig model.^{21,22} In the STEMI-patient MITOCARE study (Phase 2, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Study to Assess Safety and Efficacy of TRO40303 for Reduction of Reperfusion Injury in Patients Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for Acute Myocardial Infarction), TRO40303 failed to reduce infarct size.²³

Together, these trials question whether the noxious effects of PTP opening can be captured in the settings of STEMI.

Therapies targeting glucose metabolism

Several experimental investigations have suggested that insulin can prevent reperfusion injury. However, clinical results have been equivocal.²⁴ Recently, Lexis et al evaluated the effects of metformin treatment on the preservation of LV function in STEMI patients without diabetes.²⁵ In that double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2 study, metformin hydrochloride (500 mg twice daily) did not improve LV function at 4 months. The antidiabetic agent glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and the GLP-1 analog exenatide have been demonstrated in animal studies to reduce infarct size.^{26,27} Intravenous administration of exenatide before PCI has been shown to reduce infarct size in STEMI patients.²⁸ The GLP-1 analog liraglutide, administered before PCI and continued for 7 days, improved LV function in STEMI patients; however, its impact on infarct size was not assessed in that pilot trial.²⁹ Phase 3 trials are needed to determine whether these agents improve clinical outcomes in STEMI patients.

Nitric oxide and nitrite

Experimental evidence suggests a role for nitric oxide (NO) in conditioning interventions.³⁰ Yet, intravenous administration of nitrite in animal models has produced equivocal results.³¹ In STEMI patients treated by PCI, the administration of nitrite either intravenously or intracoronarily failed to significantly reduce infarct size.^{32,33}

Abciximab

Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa (GPIIb/IIIa) inhibitors can prevent thrombotic events owing to their potent effect on platelets and eventually on platelet-leukocyte aggregates. In the INFUSE-AMI trial (Intracoronary Abciximab and Aspiration Thrombectomy in Patients with Large Anterior Myocardial Infarction), anterior-STEMI patients underwent PCI and received abciximab and/or thrombectomy as part of an open-label 2x2 factorial protocol. Thrombus aspiration had no effect on infarct size, but intracoronary administration of abciximab significantly reduced infarct size as measured by cardiac magnetic resonance.³⁴ Additional information is needed to examine whether abciximab might improve clinical outcomes in STEMI.

Adenosine

Based on experimental works, adenosine has long been considered a potent infarct-size reducing agent. Its clinical interest has been evaluated in different cardiac ischemia-reperfusion settings; unfortunately, the results have been inconsistent, with some studies reporting a reduction in infarct size (eg, with a high dose administered to patients hospitalized within 3 hours of symptom onset) and others not.^{35,36} Larger clinical trials selecting patients that experienced a short period of ischemia are needed in order to examine whether adenosine might bring clinically relevant benefit to STEMI patients.

Metoprolol

Intravenous administration of metoprolol immediately before reperfusion can reduce infarct size in the pig heart.³⁷ In the METOCARD-CNIC trial (METOprolol in CARDioproteCtion during an acute myocardial InfarCtion), 270 anterior-STEMI patients received in-

travenous metoprolol in the ambulance immediately before hospital admission. In the treated group, there was a significant reduction in infarct size together with a limitation in adverse LV remodeling, an improved functional recovery, and less rehospitalization for heart failure.³⁸ A phase 3 trial is in preparation (the MOVE ON! trial [Impact of pre-reperfusion Metoprolol On clinical eVenTs after myocardial infarctiON]), aimed at determining whether metoprolol might improve clinical outcome (mortality and rehospitalization for heart failure) in STEMI patients.

From now on

Our inability to transfer experimental evidence to clinical conditions and the accumulation of negative results from clinical trials are a major concern, as the prognosis of “optimally treated” STEMI patients remains poor. Several factors ought to be taken into consideration, including the incomplete understanding of the mechanisms of reperfusion injury³⁹ and of its role in cell death, myocardial healing, and remodeling. Also, the clinical scenario may be quite different from what is extrapolated from in vivo animal studies. For example, whereas postinfarct pathophysiology suggests an underlying central role of LV remodeling in the occurrence of heart failure, rehospitalization of a STEMI patient for heart failure in real life often results from intervening unrelated events, such as infections, metabolic disorders, and even inappropriate use of some pharmacological agents. Obviously, comorbidities (eg, diabetes, hypertension) and of cotreatments at the time of infarction, which obviously do not exist in animal models, are major modifiers of effect and can impact transferability of results from animal to clinical settings. It might be naive to consider that one single drug aiming at a single molecular target might prevent such a powerful and complex phenomenon as ischemia-reperfusion injury.

Although the concept of reperfusion injury and conditioning remains very strong, new approaches are certainly needed in order to improve clinical outcomes in STEMI patients. ■

REFERENCES

1. Cung TT, Morel O, Cayla G, et al. Cyclosporine before PCI in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(11):1021-1031.
2. Yellon DM, Hausenloy DJ. Myocardial reperfusion injury. *N Engl*

- J Med*. 2007;357(11):1121-1135.
3. Zhao ZQ, Corvera JS, Halkos ME, et al. Inhibition of myocardial injury by ischemic postconditioning during reperfusion: comparison with ischemic preconditioning. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2003;285(2):H579-H588.
4. Staat P, Rioufol G, Piot C, et al. Postconditioning the human heart. *Circulation*. 2005;112:2143-2148.
5. Thibault H, Piot C, Staat P, et al. Long-term benefit of postconditioning. *Circulation*. 2008;117:1037-1044.
6. Heusch G. Cardioprotection: chances and challenges of its translation to the clinic. *Lancet*. 2013;381(9861):166-175.
7. Hausenloy DJ, Maddock HL, Baxter GF, Yellon DM. Inhibiting mitochondrial permeability transition pore opening: a new paradigm for myocardial preconditioning? *Cardiovasc Res*. 2002;55(3):534-543.
8. Argaud L, Gateau-Roesch O, Muntean D, et al. Specific inhibition of the mitochondrial permeability transition prevents lethal reperfusion injury. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2005;38(2):367-374.
9. Skyschally A, Schulz R, Heusch G. Cyclosporine A at reperfusion reduces infarct size in pigs. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther*. 2010;24(1):85-87.
10. Piot C, Croisille P, Staat P, et al. Effect of cyclosporine on reperfusion injury in acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359(5):473-481.
11. Ghaffari S, Kazemi B, Toluey M, Sepehrvand N. The effect of prethrombolytic cyclosporine-A injection on clinical outcome of acute anterior ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Cardiovasc Ther*. 2013;31(4):e34-e39.
12. Chiari P, Angoulvant D, Mewton N, et al. Cyclosporine protects the heart during aortic valve surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 2014;121(2):232-238.
13. Hausenloy D, Kunst G, Boston-Griffiths E, et al. The effect of cyclosporin-A on peri-operative myocardial injury in adult patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a randomised controlled clinical trial. *Heart*. 2014;100(7):544-549.
14. Nighoghossian N, Berthezene Y, Mechtouff L, et al. Cyclosporine in acute ischemic stroke. *Neurology*. 2015;84(22):2216-2223.
15. Latini R, Limbruno U, La Vecchia L, et al. Effect of cyclosporine A on infarct size reduction in reperfused acute myocardial infarction treated with primary angioplasty. *Circulation*. 2014;130:A15211.
16. Yang XM, Liu Y, Cui L, et al. Platelet P2Y₁₂ blockers confer direct postconditioning-like protection in reperfused rabbit hearts. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther*. 2013;18(3):251-262.
17. Roubille F, Lairez O, Mewton N, et al. Cardioprotection by clopidogrel in acute ST-elevated myocardial infarction patients: a retrospective analysis. *Basic Res Cardiol*. 2012;107(4):275.
18. Dai W, Shi J, Gupta RC, Sabbah HN, Hale SL, Kloner RA. Bendavia, a mitochondria-targeting peptide, improves postinfarction cardiac function, prevents adverse left ventricular remodeling, and restores mitochondria-related gene expression in rats. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol*. 2014;64(6):543-553.
19. Shi J, Dai W, Hale SL, et al. Bendavia restores mitochondrial energy metabolism gene expression and suppresses cardiac fibrosis in the border zone of the infarcted heart. *Life Sci*. 2015;141:170-178.
20. Gibson CM, Giugliano RP, Kloner RA, et al. EMBRACE STEMI study: a Phase 2a trial to evaluate the safety, tolerability, and efficacy of intravenous MTP-131 on reperfusion injury in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Eur Heart J*. 2015 Nov 19. Epub ahead of print. pii:ehv597.
21. Schaller S, Paradis S, Ngoh GA, et al. TRO40303, a new cardioprotective compound, inhibits mitochondrial permeability transition. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2010;333(3):696-706.
22. Hansson MJ, Llwyd O, Morin D, et al. Differences in the profile of protection afforded by TRO40303 and mild hypothermia in models of cardiac ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2015;760:7-19.

23. Atar D, Arheden H, Berdeaux A, et al. Effect of intravenous TRO40303 as an adjunct to primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction: MITO-CARE study results. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36(2):112-119.
24. Selker HP, Beshansky JR, Sheehan PR, et al. Out-of-hospital administration of intravenous glucose-insulin-potassium in patients with suspected acute coronary syndromes: the IMMEDIATE randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2012;307(18):1925-1933.
25. Lexis CP, van der Horst IC, Lipsic E, et al; GIPS-III Investigators. Effect of metformin on left ventricular function after acute myocardial infarction in patients without diabetes: the GIPS-III randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014;311:1526-1535.
26. Sonne DP, Engstrom T, Treiman M. Protective effects of GLP-1 analogues exendin-4 and GLP-1(9-36) amide against ischemia-reperfusion injury in rat heart. *Regul Pept*. 2008;146(1-3):243-249.
27. Timmers L, Henriques JP, de Kleijn DP, et al. Exenatide reduces infarct size and improves cardiac function in a porcine model of ischemia and reperfusion injury. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;53(6):501-510.
28. Lonborg J, Vejstrup N, Kelbaek H, et al. Exenatide reduces reperfusion injury in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J*. 2012;33(12):1491-1499.
29. Chen WR, Hu SY, Chen YD, et al. Effects of liraglutide on left ventricular function in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am Heart J*. 2015;170(5):845-854.
30. Rassaf T, Totzeck M, Hendgen-Cotta UB, Shiva S, Heusch G, Kelm M. Circulating nitrite contributes to cardioprotection by remote ischemic preconditioning. *Circ Res*. 2014;114(10):1601-1610.
31. Lefer D, Jones S, Steenbergen C, et al. Sodium nitrite fails to limit myocardial infarct size: results from the CAESAR Cardio-protection Consortium. *FASEB J*. 2014;28:LB645.
32. Siddiqi N, Neil C, Bruce M, et al. Intravenous sodium nitrite in acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a randomized controlled trial (NIAMI). *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35(19):1255-1262.
33. Jones DA, Pellaton C, Velmurugan S, et al. Randomized phase 2 trial of intracoronary nitrite during acute myocardial infarction. *Circ Res*. 2015;116(3):437-447.
34. Stone GW, Maehara A, Witzenbichler B, et al; INFUSE-AMI Investigators. Intracoronary abciximab and aspiration thrombectomy in patients with large anterior myocardial infarction: the INFUSE-AMI randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2012;307:1817-1826.
35. Mahaffey KW, Puma JA, Barbagelata NA, et al. Adenosine as an adjunct to thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: results of a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trial: the Acute Myocardial Infarction Study of Adenosine (AMISTAD) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1999;34(6):1711-1720.
36. Garcia-Dorado D, Garcia-del-Blanco B, Otaegui I, et al. Intracoronary injection of adenosine before reperfusion in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Int J Cardiol*. 2014;177(3):935-941.
37. Ibanez B, Prat-Gonzalez S, Speidl WS, et al. Early metoprolol administration before coronary reperfusion results in increased myocardial salvage: analysis of ischemic myocardium at risk using cardiac magnetic resonance. *Circulation*. 2007;115(23):2909-2916.
38. Ibanez B, Macaya C, Sanchez-Brunete V, et al. Effect of early Metoprolol on infarct size in ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention: the effect of metoprolol in cardioprotection during an acute myocardial infarction (METOCARD-CNIC) Trial. *Circulation*. 2013;128(14):1495-1503.
39. Yellon DM, Hausenloy DJ. Myocardial reperfusion injury. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357(11):1121-1135.